



08/590,774  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/390,774	02/17/95	MORGAN	M 30293.1US02

B3M1/0620  
GEORGE H GATES  
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL  
WELTER AND SCHMIDT  
11150 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD SUITE 400  
LOS ANGELES CA 90025-3395

PAN, D EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2302

DATE MAILED: 06/20/96  
13

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

☒ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☒ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run three months from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☒ Applicant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed Jun 1996, Mar 496 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

1. ☐ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a. ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b. ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c. ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d. ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e. ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE:

2. ☒ Newly proposed or amended claims 27, 36 would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims, and if incorporate the objected claims.
3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☒ will be entered ☐ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: remarks

Claims allowed: NOVE  
Claims objected to: 28-35, 37-44  
Claims rejected: 26, 27, 36

However: Jun 1996; Mar 496

☒ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): the "103" to claims 26 based on Inaja Ki (4,478,811), in view of Guyon et al. in view of Bonadio and the Newton

4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Bonadio also recognized the relative position of his expressions which consisted of characters (e.g. col. 13, lines 12-32).
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

☒ Other — see attached copy of date stamp received by the Group. The second amendment was filed on Mar. 4 96, but it was received by the Group on Mar. 14 96 which was the same day the Office Action was mailed.